

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,136	(01/12/2001	Stephen Nuss	990356.ORI	2264	
23595	7590	04/22/2003				
NIKOLAI (& MERS	EREAU, P.A.	EXAMINER			
900 SECON SUITE 820				WINGOOD, PAMELA LYNN		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3736	10	
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- ant exhall







Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/760,136

Applicant(s)

Nuss

Examiner

Pamela Wingood

Art Unit 3736

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing If the p If NO p Failure Any res	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the soly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory minimum will expire SIX (6	of thirty (30 MONTHS forms ABANDO	days will be considered timely. form the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2.6.03}$	-		· .			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims			t to a sending in the application			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>12-37</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
.4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢				is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
0)[7]	Claims <u>28-37</u>	aı	e subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	ation Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are i	a) 🗌 accep	ted or b	objected to by the Examiner.			
10)∟	that are objection to the drawing(s) he held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	i	s: a) 🗌	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	this Office	action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been recei	ved in A	oplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	BU (FC) NUIC	17.2(0)	· ·			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified co	35 11 G	C 8 119(e)			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
		priority und	J, 33 G.	····			
	ment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview	Summary (F	PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>(</u>			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Examiner appreciates the election with traverse of the Group I directed to the apparatus claims. The Examiner; however, disagrees with the Applicant's argument regarding the processing. The applicant is claiming steps of grinding a wire, attaching a coil and a distal tip. The steps of processing are the very reason for the restriction requirement. In the restriction requirement of 2.6.03, Examiner noted the narrowing of the wire could be achieved by extruding the wire through a die to complete the forming step. Such forming steps are not properly classified in class 600/585. For this reason the Examiner classified the non-elected claims in class 29/402.19. Examiner wishes the Applicant would reconsider these claims in light of the aforementioned.

The restriction is hereby made final. The nonelected claims are withdrawn from consideration.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 12-27 are allowed.
- 2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO Art Unit: 3301

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any questions regarding this application can be addressed to Pamela Wingood who can

be reached of (703)39

Pamela Wingood

Patent Examiner

April 21, 2003

MAX F. HINDENBURG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700